UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,213	12/22/2003	Manav Mishra	42P16846	8434

7590 11/12/2009

Cory G.Claassen
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
Seventh Floor
12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90025

EXAMINER	
CURS, NATHAN M	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2613	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
11/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/743,213	MISHRA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NATHAN M. CURS	2613	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *3* MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *27 July 2009*.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1,2,4-9,12,14,15,17-19,22-25 and 27-29* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☒ Claim(s) *19,22-25 and 27-29* is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1,2,4-9,12,14,15,17 and 18* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on *22 December 2003* is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date *7/09*.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 12, 14, 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ovadia (US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0198471) in view of Westberg (US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0198226) and further in view of Lee (US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0165537).

Regarding claim 1, Ovadia discloses a method, comprising: slicing a block of data into a plurality of data slices (fig. 2 and paragraph 0026, where assembling stored data packets into different IP payloads reads on slicing a block of data into a plurality of data slices); appending a slice header to each of the plurality of data slices (fig. 4A and paragraph 0048, where the IP header is for the IP payload); and scheduling the plurality of data slices for transmission onto an optical switching network during fixed time slots (paragraph 0027, where a reserved time slot is a “fixed” time slot), wherein the block of data comprises a data stream (paragraph 0026, where packets in route to a common destination read on a data stream). Ovadia does not disclose that the IP headers each include a fragment identifier (“ID”) indicating an order of each of the plurality of data slices and a data stream ID identifying the data stream from a plurality other data

streams. However, Westberg discloses a packet header that includes a fragment identifier ("ID") indicating an order of each of the plurality of data slices and a data stream ID identifying the data stream from a plurality other data streams (paragraphs 0017-0018, where the CID reads on a data stream ID and the sequence information reads on a fragment identifier). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use CID and sequence information into the headers of Ovadia so that packets of a data stream could be quickly forwarded to a destination without intermediate reassembly, with the reassembly occurring at the destination itself.

Also, the combination of Ovadia and Westberg discloses establishing an optical route through an optical burst switching network using GMPLS (Ovadia: paragraph 0029, the GMPLS routing establishing the network as an optical burst switching GMPLS network), wherein establishing the optical paths through the optical switching network further comprises: establishing the optical paths through the optical network prior to scheduling the plurality of data slices for transmission, wherein establishing the optical paths and scheduling the plurality of data slices are independent of each other (Ovadia: paragraph 0029, where the dynamic routing protocol establishes an optical path through the network from ingress to egress, before the ingress node starts transmitting burst payloads), but does not disclose establishing optical paths including executing a Resource Reservation Protocol--Traffic Engineering ("RSVP-TE") signaling protocol, wherein the RSVP-TE signaling protocol includes a hybrid optical bursts switching ("OBS") network extension. Lee discloses RSVP-TE with GMPLS extensions as

conventional for path setup, with an additional RSVP-TE object for excluding certain nodes and resources (paragraphs 0001, 0002 and 0020). Since Ovadia already discloses establishing optical paths in an optical burst switching network using GMPLS, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention could have used the RSVP-TE with GMPLS extensions for the optical burst switching GMPLS network (thus making the GMPLS extensions optical burst switching network extension, which reads on the language “hybrid object bursts switching network extension"), and the XRO object, for set up of optical burst switching network paths in the combination, and the results would have been predictable; namely, the RSVP-TE with GMPLS optical burst switching network extensions and the XRO object would allow path setup that explicitly includes some nodes and resources in the optical burst switching GMPLS network, while explicitly excluding some nodes and resources. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the RSVP-TE with GMPLS extensions for the optical burst switching GMPLS network (thus making the GMPLS extensions optical burst switching network extension, which reads on the language “hybrid object bursts switching network extension"), and the XRO object, for set up of optical burst switching network paths in the combination, for the predictable result of the RSVP-TE with GMPLS optical burst switching network extensions and the XRO object allowing path setup that explicitly includes some nodes and resources in the optical burst switching GMPLS network, while explicitly excluding some nodes and resources.

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Ovadia, Westberg and Lee discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the block of data comprises a data stream received from another network and which is buffered at an edge node of the optical switching network (Ovadia: fig. 2 and paragraphs 0023-0026 where the LAN is another network, and where the stored packets in the ingress (edge) node are buffered packets).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Ovadia, Westberg and Lee discloses the method of claim 2, further comprising: transmitting the plurality of data slices onto the optical switching network as an optical burst (Ovadia: paragraph 0029), the optical burst including fixed length cells containing the plurality of data slices with the slice headers appended thereto (Ovadia: fig. 4A and paragraph 0048 in light of paragraph 0026, where the optical burst payload reads on fixed length cells containing the plurality of data slices with the slice headers).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Ovadia, Westberg and Lee discloses the method of claim 4 wherein each of the fixed length cells includes N data slices of the plurality of data slices, where N is a whole number greater than one (Ovadia: paragraph 0026, where the burst includes a plurality of packets, where a plurality of packets reads on greater than one packet).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Ovadia, Westberg and Lee discloses the method of claim 4, further comprising appending a burst header to a first one of the plurality of data slices (Ovadia: fig. 2 element 25 and paragraph 0029, where sending the burst label and payload in the same time slot reads on appending a burst header to a data slice of the payload).

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Ovadia, Westberg and Lee discloses the method of claim 2 wherein scheduling each of the plurality of data slices for transmission onto an optical switching network comprises scheduling the plurality of data slices into an optical burst (Ovadia: paragraph 0026), the plurality of data slices to be reassembled via the slice headers (Westberg: paragraphs 0017 and 0018, as applicable in the combination). The combination as described above does not disclose scheduling data slice into multiple optical bursts. However, considering that Ovadia schedules bursts into time slots (paragraph 0029), one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention could have used multiple bursts to transmit a long data stream of packets that exceeds the size of one time slot, and the results would have been predictable; namely, the data stream would be carried using multiple bursts and reassembled at the destination once all the bursts have arrived. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use multiple bursts to transmit a data stream of packets that exceeds the size of one time slot for the predictable result of carrying the data stream using multiple bursts to be reassembled at the destination once all the bursts have arrived.

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Ovadia, Westberg and Lee discloses the method of claim 7 wherein each of the plurality of optical bursts are transmitted on different carrier wavelengths (Ovadia: col. 3, lines 47-56 and col. 4, lines 25-36).

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Ovadia, Westberg and Lee discloses the method of claim 8 wherein the fixed time slots are of constant length throughout the optical switching network for optical bursts transmitted on a single one of the carrier

wavelengths (Ovadia: paragraph, where the TDM slots read on fixed time slots of constant length per wavelength), but does not disclose that the time slot vary in length between the different carrier wavelengths. However, Ovadia discloses reserving TDM time slots for optical bursts across multiple wavelengths (paragraph 0027). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use different TDM time slot sizes for different wavelengths, so that optical bursts of different sizes are assigned to TDM time slots of different sizes for the different wavelengths, correlating optical burst sizes with TDM time slot sizes to increase the efficiency of using TDM time slots for different size optical bursts.

Regarding claim 12, Ovadia discloses a processor-readable storage that stores instructions, which when executed by a processor, will cause the processor to perform operations (fig. 2 and paragraphs 0023-0029, where the ingress switching node is a machine and where the node, being a data processing node, inherently has some sort of stored software or firmware instructions that define the data processing functionality of the node) comprising: slicing data blocks into data slices (fig. 2 and paragraph 0026, where assembling stored data packets into different IP payloads reads on slicing blocks of data into data slices); generating slice headers to append to each of the data slices (fig. 4A and paragraph 0048, where the IP header is for the IP payload); and scheduling the data slices for transmission onto an optical switching network within optical bursts (paragraph 0027, where each IP payload is within an optical burst payload), the optical bursts formed of fixed length optical cells (fig. 4A and paragraph 0048, where the predefined sections of the optical burst payload – elements 40-44 – read on fixed length

cells that make up the burst payload). Ovadia does not disclose that each of the slice headers includes a fragment ID identifying an order of the appended data slice and a data stream ID identifying one of the data blocks from which the appended data slice was sliced. However, Westberg discloses a packet header that includes a fragment identifier ("ID") indicating an order of each of the plurality of data slices and a data stream ID identifying the data stream from a plurality other data streams (paragraphs 0017-0018, where the CID reads on a data stream ID and the sequence information reads on a fragment identifier). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use CID and sequence information into the headers of Ovadia so that packets of a data stream could be quickly forwarded to a destination without intermediate reassembly, with the reassembly occurring at the destination itself.

Also, the combination of Ovadia and Westberg discloses establishing an optical route through an optical burst switching network using GMPLS (Ovadia: paragraph 0029, the GMPLS routing establishing the network as an optical burst switching GMPLS network), wherein scheduling the data slices further includes scheduling the data slices after establishing the optical paths through the optical switching network, wherein scheduling the data slices is independent of establishing the optical paths through the optical switching network (Ovadia: paragraph 0029, where the dynamic routing protocol establishes an optical path through the network from ingress to egress, before the ingress node starts transmitting burst payloads), but does not disclose establishing optical paths including executing a Resource Reservation Protocol--Traffic Engineering

("RSVP-TE") signaling protocol, wherein the RSVP-TE signaling protocol includes a hybrid optical bursts switching ("OBS") network extension. Lee discloses RSVP-TE with GMPLS extensions as conventional for path setup, with an additional RSVP-TE object for excluding certain nodes and resources (paragraphs 0001, 0002 and 0020). Since Ovadia already discloses establishing optical paths in an optical burst switching network using GMPLS, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention could have used the RSVP-TE with GMPLS extensions for the optical burst switching GMPLS network (thus making the GMPLS extensions optical burst switching network extension, which reads on the language “hybrid object bursts switching network extension"), and the XRO object, for set up of optical burst switching network paths in the combination, and the results would have been predictable; namely, the RSVP-TE with GMPLS optical burst switching network extensions and the XRO object would allow path setup that explicitly includes some nodes and resources in the optical burst switching GMPLS network, while explicitly excluding some nodes and resources. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the RSVP-TE with GMPLS extensions for the optical burst switching GMPLS network (thus making the GMPLS extensions optical burst switching network extension, which reads on the language “hybrid object bursts switching network extension"), and the XRO object, for set up of optical burst switching network paths in the combination, for the predictable result of the RSVP-TE with GMPLS optical burst switching network extensions and the XRO object allowing path setup that explicitly includes some nodes

and resources in the optical burst switching GMPLS network, while explicitly excluding some nodes and resources.

Regarding claim 14, the combination of Ovadia, Westberg and Lee discloses the processor-readable storage of claim 13, further containing instructions, which when executed by the processor, will cause the processor to perform further operations, comprising buffering data streams received from another network to generate the data blocks (Ovadia: fig. 2 and paragraphs 0023-0026, where the LAN is another network, stored packets are buffered packets, and where the plurality of received data packets read on a plurality of data streams).

Regarding claim 15, the combination of Ovadia, Westberg and Lee discloses the processor-readable storage of claim 14, but as described above does not disclose scheduling the data slices for transmission comprises scheduling the data slices from multiple ones of the data streams into one of the optical bursts based on a scheduling algorithm. However, since the teaching Westberg enables (paragraphs 0017-0018), One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention could have scheduled data slices from multiple data streams into one of the optical bursts, and the results would have been predictable; namely, the data slices could be scheduled quickly without regard to original order, since the fragment IDs and data stream ID allow the order of slice to be reconstructed at the destination end. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to schedule data slices from multiple data streams into one of the optical bursts, for the predictable result of quickly

scheduling data slices without regard to original order, using fragment IDs and data stream ID at the destination end to reconstruct the order.

Regarding claim 17, the combination of Ovadia, Westberg and Lee discloses the processor-readable storage of claim 12 wherein scheduling the data slices for transmission comprises scheduling a set number of the data slices into each of a first subset of the fixed length optical cells to be transmitted on a first carrier wavelength and scheduling a different number of the data slices into each of a second subset of the fixed length optical cells to be transmitted on a second carrier wavelength (Ovadia: paragraph 0022, for plural optical burst payloads per different wavelength, each burst payload containing plural data slices).

Regarding claim 18, the combination of Ovadia, Westberg and Lee discloses the processor-readable storage of claim 12, further containing instructions, which when executed by the machine, will cause the processor to perform further operations, comprising: generating burst headers for each of the optical bursts (Ovadia: fig. 4B and paragraph 0039); and appending one of the burst headers to a first one of the data slices in each of the optical bursts (Ovadia: fig. 2 element 25 and paragraph 0029, where sending the burst label and payload in the same time slot reads on appending a burst header to a data slice of the payload).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 19, 22-25 and 27-29 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 27 July 2009 regarding claim 1, amended to include limitations like those of previous claim 10, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Fig. 2 of Ovadia shows the step of forming the label and payload *before* the bandwidth reservation step, and that this does not read on Applicant's claimed establishing paths through the network before scheduling slices. This argument is not persuasive because the "forming" of Ovadia pointed out my Applicant is not "scheduling", nor is it being cited as such. Rather, Ovadia paragraph 0029 reveals that the network controller determines the route *before* transmitting the label and payload. The broadest reasonable interpretation of “route” in the language “the route determined by the network controller” of this paragraph is the path from ingress to egress that the label and payload with travel over.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NATHAN M. CURS whose telephone number is (571)272-3028. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/NATHAN M CURS/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2613